

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and in view of the reasons that follow.

Claims 3, 4 and 6 are cancelled.

Claims 1, 10, 13, 15-17, 19, 24, 25 and 27 are currently being amended.

Support for the amendments to these claims can be found at least in original claims 3 and 4, and in the specification on page 23, lines 5-9. No new matter has been added.

This amendment deletes and changes claims in this application. A detailed listing of all claims that are, or were, in the application, irrespective of whether the claims remain under examination in the application, is presented, with an appropriate defined status identifier.

After amending the claims as set forth above, claims 1, 2, 7-17, 19 and 24-27 are now pending in this application.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-4, 6-17, 19 and 24-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Han et al. in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection for at least the reasons set forth below.

Claim 1, as amended, recites “wherein the portions of the data selected for transmission are selected according to the intended function of that portion of data irrespective of the importance of the portion of data relative to other portions of the same data.” Han and AAPA fail to disclose at least this feature of claim 1 in the context of that claim.

The Patent Office on page 5 recognizes that Han does not disclose identifiers that provide an indication of the importance of a portions of the data relative to other portions of the same data, but relies on AAPA for disclosing this

feature. AAPA, however, does not cure the deficiencies of Han with respect to claim 1 as amended to include the above quoted feature.

Even if Han were modified to include identifiers that provide an indication of the importance of a portion of the data relative to other portions of the same data, the modified Han system would not suggest the feature of claim 1 where portions of the data selected for transmission to a data-receiving device are selected according to the intended function of that portion of data irrespective of the importance of the portion of data relative to other portions of the same data. Thus, even if Han and the AAPA were combined, the combination would not have all of the features of claim 1, as amended.

Independent claims 10, 13, 15-17, 19, 24, 25 and 27 contain similar features to those discussed above with respect to claim 1, and are patentable for analogous reasons.

Claims 2, 7-9, 11, 12, 14 and 26 depend from one of independent claims 1, 10, 13 and 25 and should be allowed for the reasons set forth above without regard to further patentable limitations contained therein.

Conclusion

Applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.25. Additionally, charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 through § 1.21 inclusive, and any other sections in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations that may regulate fees.

Respectfully submitted,

Date May 19, 2009

Hewlett Packard Comp any
Customer Number: 22879
Telephone: (202) 672-5485
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

By Thomas G. Bilodeau

William T. Ellis
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 26,874

Thomas G. Bilodeau
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 43,438